Foreword

This National Annex (NA) is a revision of DS/EN 1993-1-1 DK NA:2015 and replaces the latter as from 2019-09-09. For a transition period until 2019-12-31, this National Annex as well as the previous National Annex will be applicable.

Text has been added under Clause 6.1(1) Ultimate limit states – General in relation to level of checking.

Previous, valid versions of the NAs as well as addenda to these can be found at www.eurocodes.dk.

This NA lays down the conditions for the implementation in Denmark of EN 1993-1-1 for construction works in conformity with the Danish Building Regulations.

This NA applies to construction works covered by section 16(1) of the Danish Building Regulations as well as to construction works covered by sections 24 to 27 of the Danish Building Regulations.

A National Annex contains national provisions, viz. nationally applicable values or selected methods. The Annex may furthermore provide non-contradictory, complementary information.

This NA includes:
- an overview of possible national choices and clauses containing complementary information;
- national choices;
- non-contradictory, complementary information.

For structures covered by sections 24 to 27 of the Danish Building Regulations BR18, or not covered by the Danish Building Regulations, levels of checking may still be used for the calculation of structures in ultimate limit states. For structures covered by section 16(1) of the Danish Building Regulations, levels of checking cannot be applied.
### Overview of possible national choices and complementary information

The list below identifies the clauses where national choices are possible and the applicable/not applicable informative annexes. Furthermore, clauses giving complementary information are identified. Complementary information is given at the end of this National Annex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clause</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>National choice</th>
<th>Complementary information</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.3.1(1)</td>
<td>Actions and environmental influences</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.1(2)</td>
<td>Materials, General</td>
<td>National choice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.1(1)</td>
<td>Material properties</td>
<td>National choice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.2(1)</td>
<td>Ductility requirements</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.3(1)</td>
<td>Fracture toughness</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.3(3)B</td>
<td>Fracture toughness</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.2.4(1)B</td>
<td>Through-thickness properties</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>Complementary information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2.1(3)</td>
<td>Effects of deformed geometry of the structure</td>
<td>National choice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2.2(8)</td>
<td>Structural stability of frames</td>
<td></td>
<td>Complementary information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3.2(3)</td>
<td>Imperfections for global analysis of frames</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3.2(11)</td>
<td>Imperfections for global analysis of frames</td>
<td>National choice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3.4(3)</td>
<td>Member imperfections</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1(1)</td>
<td>Ultimate limit states, General</td>
<td>National choice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.1(1)2B</td>
<td>Ultimate limit states, General</td>
<td>National choice</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.2.2</td>
<td>Resistance of cross-sections - Section prop-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Complementary information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>erties</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3.2.2(2)</td>
<td>Lateral torsional buckling curves – General case</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3.2.3(1)</td>
<td>Lateral torsional buckling curves for rolled sections or equivalent welded sections</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3.2.3(2)</td>
<td>Lateral torsional buckling curves for rolled sections or equivalent welded sections</td>
<td>National choice</td>
<td>Complementary information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3.2.4(1)B</td>
<td>Simplified assessment methods for beams with restraints in buildings</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.3.2.4(2)B</td>
<td>Simplified assessment methods for beams with restraints in buildings</td>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Clause | Subject | National choice | Complementary information
--- | --- | --- | ---
6.3.3(5) | Interactive factors for members in bending and axial compression | National choice | 
6.3.4(1) | General method for lateral and lateral torsional buckling of structural components | National choice | 
7.2.1(1)B | Vertical deflections | National choice | 
7.2.2(1)B | Horizontal deflections | National choice | 
7.2.3(1)B | Dynamic effects | Unchanged | 
Annex A | Method 1: Interaction factors | Applicable | 
Annex B | Method 2: Interaction factors | Applicable | 
Annex AB | Complementary design rules | Applicable | 
Annex BB | Buckling of structural members | Applicable | 
BB.1.3(3)B | Hollow sections as members | National choice | 
C.2.2(3) | Selection of execution class – general | National choice | 
C.2.2(4) | Selection of execution class – components | National choice | 

1) Unchanged: Recommendations in the Eurocode to be followed.
No choice made: The Eurocode does not recommend values or methods but allows the option of determining national values or methods.
Applicable: The Annex is applicable in Denmark and has the same status as specified in the Eurocode.
National choice: A national choice has been made.

2) Complementary information: Non-contradictory complementary information on how to use the Eurocode.
National choices

3.1(2) Materials, General
The standard applies to steel materials in accordance with Table 3.1 of DS/EN 1993-1-1 or equivalent.

3.2.1(1) Material properties
The values of $f_y$ and $f_u$ specified in (1) a) should be used.

5.2.1(3) Effects of deformed geometry of the structure
A lower value of $\alpha_{cr}$ than that given in (5.1) may be used if justification of its application is documented.

5.3.2(11) Imperfections for global analysis of frames
Which of the methods referred to in (3), (6) and (11) to be used should be determined for each individual case.

6.1(1) Ultimate limit states, General
The below expressions for $\gamma_{Mi}$ are used, including the factor ($\gamma_0$) for the partial factors for strength parameters and resistances, cf. National Annex to EN 1990, Table A1.2(B+C) DK NA:

\[
\begin{align*}
\gamma_{M0} &= 1,1 \cdot \gamma_0 \cdot \gamma_3 \\
\gamma_{M1} &= 1,2 \cdot \gamma_0 \cdot \gamma_3 \\
\gamma_{M2} &= 1,35 \cdot \gamma_0 \cdot \gamma_3
\end{align*}
\]

The factor $\gamma_0$ takes into account the combination of actions, cf. National Annex to EN 1990, Table A1.2(B+C) DK NA.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limit state</th>
<th>STR/GEO</th>
<th>STR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Combination of actions</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma_0$</td>
<td>1,0</td>
<td>1,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The factor $\gamma_3$ takes into account the level of checking of the product. The reduced level of checking is not used.

Extended level of checking: $\gamma_3 = 0,95$
Normal level of checking: $\gamma_3 = 1,00$

For structures covered by section 16(1) of the Danish Building Regulations, the extended level of checking cannot be applied, and $\gamma_3$ is taken as 1,00.

The partial factors are determined in accordance with the National Annex to EN 1990, Annex F, *Partial factors for resistance*, where $\gamma_M = \gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4$, where the values of $\gamma_{Mi}$ given above include the factor $\gamma_0$. 
γ\(_1\) takes into account the type of failure;  
γ\(_2\) takes into account the uncertainty related to the design model;  
γ\(_3\) takes into account the extent of checking;  
γ\(_4\) takes into account the variation of the strength parameter or resistance.

When determining γ\(_1\), the following types of failure have been assumed:

\[ \gamma_{M0} : \text{Warning of failure with residual resistance} \]
\[ \gamma_{M1} : \text{Warning of failure without residual resistance} \]
\[ \gamma_{M2} : \text{No warning of failure} \]

For accidental and seismic design situations the following values are used:

\[ \gamma_{M0} = 1,0 \]
\[ \gamma_{M1} = 1,0 \]
\[ \gamma_{M2} = 1,0 \]

6.1(1) Note 2B Ultimate limit states, General  
See 6.1(1)

6.3.2.3(2) Lateral torsional buckling curves for rolled sections or equivalent welded sections  
\( f = 1 \). The determination of \( M_{cr} \) takes into account the moment distribution between lateral restraints. See also the complementary information.

6.3.3(5) Uniform members in bending and axial compression  
Both Method 1 and Method 2 may be used to determine the values of the interaction factors \( k_{yy}, k_{yz}, k_{zy} \) og \( k_{zz} \). See also the complementary information.

6.3.4(1) General method for lateral and lateral torsional buckling of structural components  
The relevance of using the method in 6.3.4 is to be evaluated for each case.

7.2.1(1)B Vertical deflections  
For beams, the following values of the maximum deflection (\( w_3 \) in EN 1990, Figure A1.1) due to one variable action without allowance for impact, if any, may serve as guidance as to what may be regarded as acceptable deflections:

- floors \( l/400 \)  
- roofs and external walls \( l/200 \)

Where \( l \) is:

- the span of simply supported and continuous beams;
The values apply both to main and secondary elements, but only the deflection of the element considered is to be used in the assessment.

For secondary sheeting in the form of uninsulated roof sheeting and for facade sheeting, the deflection due to permanent and variable actions should not exceed $l/90$.

For roof sheeting with external insulation and roofing felt, the deflection due to permanent and variable actions should not exceed:

- $l/150$ for $l < 4500$ mm
- $30$ mm for $4500$ mm $\leq l < 6000$ mm
- $l/200$ for $6000$ mm $\leq l$

7.2.1(1)B Horizontal deflections

For columns, the following numerical values of the maximum deflection of the column head due to one variable action may serve as guidance to what may be regarded as acceptable deflections:

- frames in buildings without cranes $h/150$
- columns in single-storey skeleton structures $h/300$
- columns in multi-storey skeleton structures, for each storey $h/300$ for the total height $h_e/500$

Where

- $h$ is the height of the individual column
- $h_e$ is the total height of the building.

BB.1.3(3)B Hollow sections as members

Further information on buckling lengths of compression members should be found in textbooks.

C.2.2(3) Selection of execution class

The execution class is selected on the basis of the consequences class.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequences class</th>
<th>Type of action</th>
<th>Type of action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CC3, if covered by DS/EN 1990 DK NA, B4 (4)</td>
<td>Static, quasi-static or seismic DCL $^a$</td>
<td>Fatigue $^b$ or seismic DCM or DCH $^a$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC3</td>
<td>EXC3 $^c$</td>
<td>EXC3 $^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC2</td>
<td>EXC2</td>
<td>EXC3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC1</td>
<td>EXC1/EXC2 $^d$</td>
<td>EXC2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Seismic ductility classes are defined in DS/EN 1998-1: Low = DCL, medium = DCM, high = DCH.
c) EXC4 should be used for structural components/details where the consequences of failure are particularly severe. See C.2.2(4).
d) For welds, see C.2.2(4).

C.2.2(4) Selection of execution class
Footnote d):
For the execution of welds, DS/EN 1993-1-8 specifies that a weld of at least quality level C (according to EN ISO 5817) is normally required unless otherwise specified. Therefore, at least execution class EXC2 should be applied for the welded connections in the structure. Alternatively, for steels of quality < S355, EXC1 may be used supplemented by a requirement for the weld quality to conform to level C according to EN ISO 5817.

Footnotes b) and c):
For welds in fatigue critical joints, the detail category is specified (cf. DS/EN 1993-1-9) and any supplementary execution requirements according to the guidelines in EN 1090-2, 7.6.2.

For welds in critical joints, including fatigue critical joints, weld inspection classes WIC1 to WIC5 are specified in accordance with the guidelines in EN 1090-2, Annex L. The associated additional extent of testing according to EN 1090-2, Table L.2 applies.
Non-contradictory, complementary information.

3.2.4(1) Through-thickness properties
Reference is made to non-contradictory, complementary information in the National Annex to EN 1993-1-10, 3.2(2).

5.2.2(8) Structural stability of frames
Detailed guidelines are not given for structural analyses of the stability of frames using a method based on equivalent buckling lengths. Guidance should be found in specialist literature, or the method of analysis should be documented by other means.

6.2.2 Resistance of cross-sections - Section properties
For a long-threaded structural component, the gross cross section and the net cross section are taken as the stress area as defined in DS/EN 1993-1-8, 1.5. The connection at the end of the component should also conform to the design rules for bolted connections specified in DS/EN 1993-1-8.

6.3.2.3(2) Lateral torsional buckling curves – General case
Justification for changing 6.3.2.3(2) Lateral torsional buckling curves for rolled sections or equivalent welded sections
The specified method assumes (cf. ECCS Publication 119) that when calculating $M_{cr}$ and consequently $\lambda_{LT}$, a uniform moment distribution between the lateral restraints is taken into account corresponding to $\Psi = 1$ in Table 6.6 in EN 1993-1-1, and not the real moment distribution as in 6.3.2.2.

The real moment distribution has been taken into account by the factor $f$. The text of the change specifies that also when using this method, $M_{cr}$ is to be determined on the basis of the real moment distribution between the lateral restraints, and $f$ is taken as 1.

6.3.3(5) Uniform members in bending and axial compression
Method 1 is recommended for significant structures and where cost is decisive, and as a basis for the preparation for design programs.
Method 2 is recommended as a simpler method for less significant structures.
See also the national choice.

Annex B, Method 2 – Interaction factors $k_{ij}$ for interaction formula in 6.3.3(4)
Table B.3: Equivalent uniform moment factors $C_m$ in Tables B.1 and B.2
$M_s$ is to be obtained according to the value of bending moment diagram which yields a local extreme ($dM_s/dx = 0$) between end points of beam elements ($x = 0$ and $x = L$).
Where a local extreme does not exist, $M_s$ is to be taken as the value obtained at the centre of the beam element.